

UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

The Satanic Temple, Inc.

Plaintiff

v.

Newsweek Digital LLC

Defendant.

1:22-cv-1343 (MKV)

**RESPONSE TO NEWSWEEK'S
LR 56.1 STATEMENT**

COMES NOW Plaintiff The Satanic Temple, Inc., by and through counsel of record, with a response to Newsweek Digital LLC's statement of material facts pursuant to LR 56.1.

THE PARTIES

1. The Satanic Temple, Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "The Satanic Temple") is an "atheistic religious corporation" with chapters throughout the United States. Compl. ¶¶ 6, 43, 89, 90.

Response: Controverted in part. Uncontroverted that the Temple is an atheistic religious corporation and has a presence throughout the

United States, but local affiliates have been called “congregations” since 2021. Stevens Depo. 18:5-8, 20:10-20, 38:5-24.

2. The Satanic Temple was founded in or around 2013 or 2014. Deposition of Lucien Greaves, dated November 6, 2023 (“Greaves Depo.”), 6:23–7:2.

Response: Uncontroverted.

3. Newsweek is a New York limited liability company that publishes the online news magazine *Newsweek* through its website at www.newsweek.com. Compl. ¶ 7.

Response: Uncontroverted.

4. Newsweek published the article at issue in this case, titled “Orgies, Harassment, Fraud: Satanic Temple Rocked by Accusations, Lawsuit” (the “Article”), which was written by Juila Duin. Compl. Ex. 1.

Response: Uncontroverted.

THE WASHINGTON LAWSUIT

5. In 2020, The Satanic Temple filed a lawsuit for defamation and “cyberpiracy” against several former members of its Washington Chapter in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington (the “Washington Lawsuit”). *United Fed’n of Churches v. Johnson*, 522 F.Supp.3d 842 (W.D. Wash. 2021), *recons. denied*, 2022 WL 1093025 (W.D. Wash. 2022), *aff’d in part, vacated in part and remanded*, 2023 WL 8271978 (9th Cir., Nov. 30, 2023); Compl. ¶ 19.

Response: Controverted in part. The *Johnson* litigation also includes claims for tortious interference, trespass to chattels, conversion, and breach of fiduciary duty. *Kezhaya Aff.*

6. The defendants in the Washington Lawsuit are David Alan Johnson, Leah Fishbaugh, Mickey Meeham (a/k/a Joshua Calavera), and Nathan Sullivan, who are members of a group called Queer Satanic. *See gen., Johnson*, 522 F.Supp.3d 842.

Response: Uncontroverted.

7. Queer Satanic operates a social media account under the handle @QueerSatanic. Deposition of Julia Duin, November 16, 2023 (“Duin Depo.”), 93:16–19; Deposition of Nathan Sullivan, November 17, 2023 (“Sullivan Depo.”), 6:15–17.

Response: Uncontroverted.

THE ARTICLE

Background

8. After the Washington Lawsuit was dismissed by the District Court, Newsweek reporter Julia Duin received an email from another journalist, Kevin Jones, stating that former members of The Satanic Temple had told him about “allegations of [The Satanic Temple] leadership sexually exploiting members or failing to respond to harassment/sexual assault allegations against chapter heads.” Duin Depo. 37:16–38:9; JDUIN001–003.

Response: Controverted in part. Kevin J. Jones more particularly wrote to Julia Duin as a reporter for the Catholic News Agency. JDUIN002.

9. Duin is a freelancer who worked for Newsweek as an independent contractor from September 1, 2021 until February 2023. Duin Depo. 29:3–4, 78:1–16.

Response: Controverted that Duin is properly designated an “independent contractor.” Her work was subject to Newsweek’s editorial control, she reported to three separate managers, she was paid a salary, and at all times in connection with this article she was held out as a reporter for Newsweek, and she worked full-time for Newsweek. Cooper²⁶; Cooper³⁷; Cooper Depo. at 134:13-16, 189:17-22 (Cooper edited the Article), Cooper Depo. at 97:19-23; 149:3-7; Duin Depo. at 150:20-21 (Duin reported to Juliana Pignataro), Duin Depo. at 151:8-22 (three managers greenlit the Article), 29:14-15, 68:16-69:7, 69:23-70:7 (paid monthly), 29:5-6, 36:13-18 (worked “for Newsweek,” full-time).

10. Duin has been a journalist for over forty-five years. Duin Depo. 183:10–12.

Response: Uncontroverted.

11. Duin has received multiple awards for her reporting, including awards from the Religion News Writers Association, the Associated Press, and the Religion Communicators Council. Duin Depo. 11:13–12:9.

Response: Uncontroverted.

12. Duin has published six books about religion. Duin Depo. 15:5–21.

Response: Uncontroverted.

13. Duin served as a visiting journalism professor at the University of Alaska at Fairbanks, a visiting professor at Union University, an adjunct professor at the University of Maryland, and an adjunct professor at Patrick Henry University. Duin Depo. 15:22–16:11.

Response: Uncontroverted.

14. Duin has a master's degree in religious studies from Trinity Episcopal School for Ministry. Duin Depo. 88:4–19.

Response: Uncontroverted.

15. Duin was not familiar with The Satanic Temple before she began her research for the Article beyond references to The Satanic Temple in two articles she reviewed in her work on media critique pieces, which she wrote in 2016 and 2018. Duin Depo. 73:5–74:6.

Response: Controverted. Prior to the Article, Duin wrote three articles about The Satanic Temple; none of which were favorable. Duin Depo. Exhibits 3, 4, and 5; see also Duin Depo. at 73:18–76:15.

Researching the Article

16. In connection with her research for the Article, Duin communicated with the Queer Satanic members in person and via

their @queersatanic social media account. Duin Depo. 91:10–21; 93:3–19; JDUIN019–025.

Response: Uncontroverted.

17. When Duin communicated with Nathan Sullivan, he told her that the Queer Satanic members had been removed from the Washington Chapter of The Satanic Temple because they were copied on an ethics complaint, and that the ethics complaint involved the mismanagement of a sexual harassment complaint within the Washington Chapter. Sullivan Depo. 22:11–23:21; JDUIN018; NEWSWEEK390.

Response: Uncontroverted that Sullivan said this, but the truth of the matter asserted is controverted. Johnson Depo. at 25:6-11.

18. Sullivan also sent Duin social media posts between a member of The Satanic Temple and The Satanic Temple’s International Council, in which the member claimed she was removed from groups within The Satanic Temple after publicly criticizing the

behavior of Satanic Temple leaders for, among other things, making inappropriate sexual comments. JDUIN019–025.

Response: Controverted. None of these documents indicate that this unidentified hearsay declarant suffered any sexual comments.

19. When Duin communicated with David Johnson, he told her that he had been a witness to a sexual harassment complaint within The Satanic Temple. Deposition of David Johnson, November 17, 2023 (“Johnson Depo.”), 10:23–11:13.

Response: Controverted. Johnson Depo. at 21:14-19.

20. On October 24, 2021, Duin emailed Scott Malphas, a former member of The Satanic Temple, and asked if he would “mind telling [her] what chapter you were part of . . . , when you left [The Satanic Temple] and why.” Duin Depo 117:8–18, Ex 10 (DUIN48-003).

Response: Uncontroverted.

21. Duin’s October 24th email to Malphas did not mention sexual abuse. *Id.*

Response: Uncontroverted.

22. In response to Duin's email, Malphas stated that he would be "happy to share [his] experience with her," and further stated:

There was an account of sexual assault, and the response was "we are looking into it," then never followup [sic]. One individual was in charge of on-boarding new chapters . . . turns out they were using their authority to coerce potential chapter leaders into having sex. That person was protected by the national council and executive leadership, for months . . . until they finally "stepped down" and the allegations of statutory rape were never addressed.

[. . .]

The nail in the coffin for me was when I found out that the national council had been reviewing and approving official events that included orgies. [. . .] Well, shortly after the first celebration, national council released "sex-positive guidelines." It was clear that this was in response to the complaints of sexual assault; an effort to shed responsibility."

Duin Depo 117:8–18, Ex 10 (DUIN48-002–003).

Response: Uncontroverted that the email says this, but Malphas

makes no claim to having first-hand knowledge of the facts behind the “account,” and is not a listed witness in Newsweek’s discovery disclosures. DUIN48-002–003; Defendant’s Revised Initial Disclosures, at 2.

23. On October 24, 2021, Duin emailed Jinx Strange (a/k/a Paul Millirons), another former member of The Satanic Temple, and asked if he would “mind telling [her] what chapter you were part of, when you left [The Satanic Temple] and why.” Duin Depo. 59:11–13, Ex. 2 (NEWSWEEK017).

Response: Uncontroverted.

24. Duin’s October 24th email to Strange did not mention sexual abuse. *Id.*

Response: Uncontroverted.

25. Strange wrote a lengthy response to Duin, in which he stated that he had heard “concerning” stories from other members of The Satanic Temple, and that:

A lot of times those things were anecdotal or unsupported, but sometimes they were very concerning. Screenshots or images of things and connections I hadn't seen before. Leaders posing happily with major alt-right media figures. Accounts of sexual abuse being covered up in ways that were more than anecdotal. Dozens of people kicked out for asking for financial records from this alleged-non-profit organization.

Duin Depo. 59:11–13, Ex. 2 (NEWSWEEK016).

Response: Uncontroverted that the email says this, but Strange does not claim first-hand knowledge of the “anecdotal” stories he is passing on in the vaguest possible terms. Strange Depo. at 11:16-18.

26. Strange testified that by “sexual abuse” he meant “unwanted sexual advances or contact.” Deposition of Paul Millirons, December 7, 2023 (“Strange Depo.”), 10:17–20.

Response: Uncontroverted, although whether the article has defamatory meaning is a question for the Court not any particular witness. XXX. The article statement, “sexual abuse being covered up,” is criminal in nature. Cooper Depo. 186:21–188:6 (both claims

are criminal in nature); see also Duin Depo. at 129:21-22 and 130:17-18 (“Sex abuse is a crime.”).

27. Strange explained that he left The Satanic Temple after hearing accounts of sexual abuse and “observ[ing] behavior on social media by people [he] understood to be leaders in [The Satanic Temple],” which appeared to be efforts to cover up those allegations. Strange Depo. 11:7–15.

Response: Controverted. Strange denied any personal knowledge of sexual abuse or cover-up. Strange Depo. at 11:16-18.

28. Strange said that he observed behavior that he “would not consider to be ethical or responsible . . . in response to these sort of [sexual abuse] allegations” and that “lent more credibility to those claims.” Strange Depo. 14:13–18.

Response: Controverted. Strange denied any personal knowledge of sexual abuse or cover-up. Strange Depo. at 11:16-18.

29. In researching the Article, Duin learned that, “there [were]

multiple reports among . . . former members [of The Satanic Temple], of sexual abuse/harassment . . . whether it's from Scott [Malphas] or from the Seattle members or from Jinx [Strange].” Duin Depo. 186:19–23.

Response: Controverted. Strange denied any personal knowledge of sexual abuse or cover-up. Strange Depo. at 11:16-18. Malphas made no claim of personal knowledge of the truth of the matters asserted by his nebulous “accounts.” DUIN48-002–003. Nor is Malphas a listed witness on Defendant’s discovery disclosures. Defendant’s Revised Initial Disclosures, at 2.

30. Duin “found what [Strange] said to be inherently plausible” because it was consistent with other statements made by members of Queer Satanic and by Scott Malphas. Duin Depo. 121:23–123:9.

Response: Controverted. Duin did not ask “anyone on the face of the planet what sexual abuse and cover-up means” in context of Strange’s email. Duin Depo. at 136:9-13. Neither Strange nor Malphas nor “Queer Satanic” provided any details as to their overt

rumormongering, and Duin did not ask any follow-up questions. Duin Depo. at 99:3-17. Duin did not find Strange's claim "inherently plausible," she found it to be a "delicious quote." NEWSWEEK 465.

31. Duin found the sources who told her about allegations of sexual abuse within The Satanic Temple credible "[b]ecause [Scott Malphas] was a true believer when he came in, and so was Jinx. And they were all true believers, and they saw stuff they didn't like, and they left. They all . . . wanted to believe. They all came in, and they believed in the organization, and they left not believing in it." Duin Depo. 175:24–176:4.

32. **Response:** Controverted. Duin described each of these individuals as "disgruntled former members." Duin Depo. at 178:8-18.

33. Duin also interviewed Lucien Greaves, spokesperson and co-founder of The Satanic Temple as part of her research for the Article. Duin Depo. 103:13, 104:4–7; Greaves Depo. 14:10–12.

Response: Uncontroverted.

34. During Duin's communications with Greaves, Greaves generally denied claims against The Satanic Temple. Duin Depo. 124:15–18, 126:17–24.

Response: Controverted. Julia Duin never asked Lucien Greaves about the accusation of sexual abuse or cover up. Duin Depo. at 123:10-18 and 123:24-124:5; see also ECF No. 27, at 13 (“The denial ...was clearly in reference to a separate allegation that appeared several paragraphs below”). Julia Duin “constructed the article” to *appear* as if Lucien gave a general denial, but she did not feel Lucien “had to address every single thing specifically.” Duin Depo. at 126:17-24.

35. On October 29, 2021, Duin emailed Greaves and asked him about Malphas's complaints that The Satanic Temple's national council had been “approving official events that included orgies.” NEWSWEEK027.

Response: Uncontroverted.

36. In response, Greaves stated that “[n]o events are compulsory to continued membership within [The Satanic Temple].” *Id.*

Response: Uncontroverted. Greaves further states: “The guidelines are merely meant to set parameters ... [so that The Satanic Temple’s events] are done in a way that is safe, sane, and consensual, and that nobody feels uncomfortable or coerced.” NEWSWEEK 027.

37. Duin did not ask Greaves about Strange’s statement regarding accounts of sexual abuse because she felt Greaves’s general denial of allegations against The Satanic Temple, and his response to questions about “official orgies,” was a “fair rebuttal” to Strange’s statement as well. Duin Depo. 125:19–21, 127:5–18.

Response: Controverted. Duin did not ask Greaves about Strange’s statement because she was looking for “delicious quotes,” not the truth. NEWSWEEK 465. This article was not, in Duin’s view, an investigation into sexual abuse. Duin Depo. at 99:8-17.

38. Greaves suggested Duin speak with Dr. Joseph Laycock, the author of *Speak of the Devil: How The Satanic Temple is Changing the Way We Talk about Religion*. Duin Depo. 104:1–3; NEWSWEEK028.

Response: Uncontroverted.

39. Duin interviewed Dr. Laycock as part of her research for the Article, and read excerpts from his book. Duin Depo. 103:22–104:8, 157:12–15.

Response: Uncontroverted.

40. Duin also interviewed Matt Kezhaya, The Satanic Temple’s general counsel, as part of her research on the Article. Duin Depo. 103:22–104:7.

Response: Uncontroverted.

Writing the Article

41. The focus of the article was the Washington Lawsuit. Duin

Depo. 99:21; Deposition of Nancy Cooper, November 7, 2023 (“Cooper Depo.”), 129:9-23.

Response: Controverted. This was a low effort hit piece commissioned by “disgruntled former members” and the Catholic News Agency. Cooper⁵²; JDUIIN002; Duin Depo. at 37:13-38:9; see also NEWSWEEK 465 and Duin Depo. at 99:8-17.

42. The Article begins:

Can you defame a religion, especially one that doesn’t believe in God, Satan or the supernatural?

The answer to that question could cost four former members of The Satanic Temple (TST) more than \$140,000 in damages, including “reputation losses,” in a civil lawsuit that has dragged on for more than a year and a half.

Compl. Ex. 1.

Response: Uncontroverted.

43. The Article then sets forth the claims in the Washington

Lawsuit, provides some background on The Satanic Temple's founding and history, and describes the involvement of each defendant in the organization. *Id.* The Article also outlines other recent legal disputes involving The Satanic Temple, reports on complaints other former members have made about The Satanic Temple's practices, and includes responses from Greaves and Kezhaya. *Id.* Finally, the Article details the holding of the federal court that dismissed Plaintiff's claims, notes that "[The Satanic Temple's] brand is still under debate in Seattle," and concludes with comments from both sides in the Washington Lawsuit on the relative merits of their positions. *Id.*

Response: Uncontroverted.

44. As background, and as part of the reporting on other complaints made by other former members, the Article includes Jinx Strange's quote that he left The Satanic Temple after hearing "[a]ccounts of sexual abuse being covered up in ways that were more than anecdotal" (the "Article Statement"). *Id.*

Response: Controverted. The article statement appears because it is a “delicious quote.” NEWSWEEK 465. It went unquestioned by Duin because it was consistent with her predetermined narrative. Duin Depo. at 136:9-13 and 173:18-174:3 (unquestioned); JDUIN002 and Duin Depo. at 35:1-8 (predetermined narrative of the article); see also JDUIN 4-17 and Duin Depo. Exhibits 3, 4, and 5 (predetermined narrative of the Satanic Panic).

45. While Duin found the Article Statement “inherently plausible,” she did not intend to accuse The Satanic Temple of any wrongdoing by including the Article Statement in the Article. Duin Depo. 134:23–135:1; *see also* Duin Depo. 99:13-15 (“[The Article] was not an investigation into the sexual abuse or the finances or the alt-right figures.”).

Response: Controverted. The article uncritically passed on a “criminal” accusation, without so much as a fair opportunity to comment, in direct violation of Newsweek’s own Ethical Guidelines. Compl. Ex. 1; Cooper Depo. 186:21-187:22 and Duin

Depo. at 129:21-22 and 130:17-18; Compl. Ex. 3.

46. Rather, Duin included the Article Statement “[b]ecause it gave a general view of the various controversies surrounding The Satanic Temple.” Duin Depo. 100:1–2.

Response: Controverted. Newsweek published this “delicious quote” because it was consistent with the predetermined narrative commissioned by “disgruntled former members” and the Catholic News Agency. NEWSWEEK 465; Cooper⁵², JDUIN002, and Duin Depo. at 37:13-38:9.

47. Duin “was looking for . . . [Jinx Strange’s] perception of what The Satanic Temple was about. What he thought, what his experience was, what he was hearing from other people.” Duin Depo. 102: 5–11.

Response: Controverted. Duin was looking for “delicious quotes.” NEWSWEEK 465. Otherwise, she would have asked any of the most basic follow-up questions. Cf. Duin Depo. at 136:9-13 (Duin

did not ask “anyone on the face of the planet what sexual abuse and cover-up means”).

48. Two paragraphs after the Article Statement, the Article includes Lucien Greaves’ statement that he considers complaints about The Satanic Temple “old news” and that, “We get this litany of senseless disparaging claims against us that says we’re a religious group acting in a nefarious manner.” Compl. Ex. 1.

Response: Controverted. The article was “constructed” this way to make it appear as if Greaves had a fair opportunity to respond. Duin Depo. at 126:17-24. In fact, Duin did not ask Greaves anything about sexual abuse or cover-up. Duin Depo. at 123:10-18 and 123:24-124:5.

49. Duin put Greaves’s general denial about claims made against The Satanic Temple after the Article Statement because she believed Greaves’s denial applied to the “various accusations” made against The Satanic Temple, including the Article Statement. Duin Depo. 128:20–129:7.

50. Duin considered the Article to be fair. Duin Depo 174:14–22.

Response: Controverted. Duin pulled the claim from overtly biased sources (“disgruntled former members”), did not ask any follow-up questions, did not talk to any individuals who claimed to be subjected to “sexual abuse” or “cover-up,” and did not offer Greaves an opportunity to respond to the claim. Cooper⁵² (“disgruntled former members”); Duin Depo. at 99:3-17 (no follow-up questions); Duin Depo. at 136:9-13 (no further inquiry); Duin Depo. at 123:10-18 and 123:24-124:5 (no opportunity to respond). This, despite her own subjective understanding that she has “an ethical obligation to convey both sides of a serious allegation.” Duin Depo. at 178:19-21 and 183:18-24.

Editing the Article

51. Nancy Cooper edited the Article. Cooper Depo. 155:17–19, 158:22–159:3, Ex. 10 (COOPER19–23), Ex. 11 (COOPER37–39),

Ex. 12 (COOPER48–50), Ex. 13 (COOPER31–32).

Response: Uncontroverted.

52. Cooper did not know anything about The Satanic Temple prior to hearing Duin’s pitch for the Article. Cooper Depo. 145:22–146:5.

Response: Uncontroverted.

53. Cooper had “faith in [Duin’s] reporting.” Cooper Depo. 233:4–7.

Response: Uncontroverted.

54. Newsweek relied on Duin to fact check her articles. Cooper Depo. 140:7–24.

Response: Controverted. Newsweek’s editing process includes flagging “a mistake in a failure of fact-checking.” Cooper Depo. 35:16-36:3.

55. Duin represented to Cooper that she had “fact-checked this

[Article] until [her] eyes were bleary.” Cooper Depo 183:7–17; *see also* Cooper Depo Ex. 12 (COOPER49) (“Whew . . . have factchecked until I am buggy-eyed.”).

Response: Uncontroverted that the email says this. But, as pertains to the article statement, that was a lie. Duin Depo. at 99:3-17, 123:10-124:5, 136:9-13, 173:18-174:3; Johnson Depo. at 21:20-22:10.

56. Duin typically did not provide Cooper with notes for her articles because Duin “is a very experienced senior person who was hired to be on this [religion] beat who knows this beat, and I [Cooper] don’t re-report stories. That’s not an editor’s role.” Cooper Depo. 157:16–23; *see also* Cooper Depo. 203:8–23 (Cooper testifying about Duin’s experience as a reporter).

Response: Controverted. Newsweek’s editing process entails flagging “a mistake in a failure of fact-checking.” Cooper Depo. 35:16-36:3.

57. Cooper saw no evidence Duin was biased in her reporting on The Satanic Temple. Cooper Depo. 222:6–17.

Response: Controverted. Cooper made no inquiry into Duin’s reporting process. Cooper Depo. at 160:24-161:8, 192:24-193:5, and 223:23-224:8.

58. Cooper understood that Article Statement to be a report of claims people were making, not an accusation of legal guilt. Cooper Depo. 209:5–14.

Response: Controverted. Cooper read the article. Cooper26, Cooper37. The article claims that Strange “was leaked material about ... ‘Accounts of sexual abuse being covered up in ways that were more than anecdotal.’” Compl. Ex. 1. This article accuses The Satanic Temple of two criminal acts: “sexual abuse,” and “cover up.” Cooper Depo. 186:21-188:6.

59. Cooper believes the Article Statement is true. Cooper Depo. 184:17–21.

Response: Controverted. Cooper denied any understanding of what the article statement means. Depo. Cooper at 207:12–208:3.

60. Cooper believes that Newsweek did not intend to, and did not, defame The Satanic Temple by publishing the Article Statement. Cooper Depo. 229:6–8.

Response: Controverted. Cooper's own Editorial Guidelines required the article statement be fact-checked. Cooper Depo. at 38:20-25. Julia Duin did not fact-check it. Duin Depo. at 99:8-17 and 173:18-174:3; Johnson Depo. at 21:20-22:10. Nobody at Newsweek fact-checked it. Cooper Depo. at 140:4-24 and 156:7-11. And Nancy Cooper did not inquire into whether it had been fact-checked. Cooper Depo. at 160:24-161:8, 192:24-193:5, and 223:23-224:8.

61. On October 29, 2021, Newsweek published the Article on www.newsweek.com. Compl. Ex. 1.

Response: Uncontroverted.

ADDITIONAL SUPPORT FOR THE ARTICLE STATEMENT

62. Regarding allegations of sexual abuse within The Satanic Temple, Strange recalled that:

One case was the case at the heart of the Washington Chapter issue. My understanding is that someone came forward with an allegation, and then they were immediately removed and as well as anyone it was just perceived might support them.

The second was a young woman from, I believe, the Austin TST Chapter at the time. Her name was Kylie Scenario, and very similarly, my understanding was that she had made an allegation and was immediately removed, possibly along with other supporters.

The third instance is a personal friend who confided in me something that happened to her at a—at a party.

Strange Depo. 25:1–16.

Response: All of these hearsay claims are made by declarants who do not appear on Newsweek's discovery disclosures. Defendant's

Revised Initial Disclosures, at 2. Strange specifically denied any personal knowledge of any claim he passed on in his deposition. Strange Depo. at 11:16-18.

As to the first allegation, the parties lack any information to even find the third-party complainant who made the accusation two years after the fact. Johnson Depo. at 16:5-24.

As to the second allegation, the truth of the matter asserted is denied. Scates Aff.

As to the third allegation, the truth of the matter asserted is denied. Axis Aff.

63. Regarding the sexual harassment claim he was a witness to, Johnson testified:

There was a former member of the local [Satanic Temple] group who had been, from my understanding, repeatedly made to feel uncomfortable by a much older member. . . They left because it was non-addressed for months, and then I found out about it in 2020.

Johnson Depo. 11:7–13.

Response: Controverted. Johnson specifically denied being a “witness” to this anecdote. Johnson Depo. at 10:10-12. The allegation he refers occurred “before I was a member.” Johnson Depo. at 11:11. The purported incident was somewhere around “2017 to 2018.” Johnson Depo. at 11:10-11. The issue was raised in 2020 by someone other than the alleged victim. Johnson Depo. at 15:15-23. When Johnson says he was a “witness,” he means that he was CC’d on the emails. Johnson Depo. at 15:12-14. We don’t know the true identity or email address of the third-party complainant. Johnson Depo. at 16:5-16. We don’t have the third-party complainant’s address. Johnson Depo. at 16:21-24.

64. Regarding other accounts of sexual abuse he had hear about, Johnson testified that:

[T]he most significant one would be a member of what was then The Satanic Temple, Austin chapter, who claimed that they were sexually assaulted by a chapter head. And in response to this, the—my

understanding is that the chapter head and their partner, who was the leader of a Dallas group, created a doxing website to attack the person who talked about being sexually assaulted . . . The person in Austin who posted about it had public Facebook posts talking about their experience

Johnson Decl. 26:9–22.

Response: Controverted. Johnson specifically denied being a “witness” to this anecdote. Johnson Depo. at 18:3-14, 21:14-19, 13:4-16, 10:10-12. The truth of the matter asserted is denied. Scates Aff.

65. Johnson also testified that:

[The Satanic Temple] has a holiday called Lupercalia . . . It’s a celebration of sexuality, supposedly. I know that the co-owner of [The Satanic Temple], Doug Misicko, used to solicit nudes for that. I don’t know how comfortable everyone was with those things, but I know that some people talked about how Luercalia made them feel uncomfortable.

Johnson Decl. 26:24–27:5.

Response: Controverted. Johnson specifically denied being a

“witness” to this anecdote. Johnson Depo. at 18:3-14, 21:14-19, 13:4-16, 10:10-12. The truth of the matter asserted is denied. Greaves Aff.

66. Regarding his removal from the Washington Chapter, Sullivan testified:

My expulsion from [The Satanic Temple] was a part of . . . covering up the ethics complaint where the harassment was an underpinning factor. The chapter head at the time explicitly said that the—the reason for my removal was because I was a witness to that e-mail.

Sullivan Depo. 16:3–8.

Response: Controverted. Johnson denied that they “were removed from TST guild leadership because you were copied on an e-mail complaint about sexual harassment.” Johnson Depo. at 25:6-11.

67. Jex Blackmore is a former member of The Satanic Temple. Deposition of Gregory Stevens, dated November 3, 2023 (“Stevens Depo.”), 130:6–15.

Response: Uncontroverted.

68. In August 2018, Blackmore wrote an article published on Medium in which she wrote:

While I was part of the organization, [The Satanic Temple], I witnessed male members of the organization exploit their position and influence to behave inappropriately and disrespectfully towards women. I myself experienced harassment and abuse from members who have now left the organization. I know that my experience is one of many examples of systemic gaslighting, degradation and bullying that many women have experienced within [The Satanic Temple] over the years.

Stevens Depo. 135:18–136:10, Ex. 23.

Response: Controverted as to the truth of the matter asserted.

Greaves Depo. 50:19-20 and 51:8-10. Uncontroverted that Jex Blackmore published this hearsay on Medium, “a website that people can dump their content on.” Greaves Depo. 48:8-15. Jex Blackmore does not appear on Newsweek’s discovery disclosures. Defendant’s Revised Initial Disclosures, at 2.

69. The Satanic Temple has admitted that “[o]ver the years we received a handful of complaints that were sexual in nature but not ‘sexual assault.’” Stevens Depo. Ex. 3.

Response: Uncontroverted.

70. One such complaint was submitted by Enid Cooper, a former member of The Satanic Temple San Jose, in December 2017. *Id.*

Response: Uncontroverted.

71. Enid Cooper complained that: “One of the leadership, Miles Teg (Gus Hernandez) pulled me aside during the picnic and mentioned that he had seen me online and thought I was ‘beautiful.’ I politely declined because I was with my date and excused myself to go back to my seat. At this point, he followed me to my table where I was seated with my date and proceeded to talk about his piercing on his penis, even going as far as looking for objects that were comparable in shape to his genitals.” *Id.*

Response: Controverted. Enid Cooper does not appear on

Newsweek's discovery disclosures. Defendant's Revised Initial Disclosures, at 2.

72. Regarding Enid Cooper's complaint, The Satanic Temple's 30(b)(6) witness testified:

Enid Cooper had made some complaints to national council and then was being very, very aggressive in not leaving, what are you going to do about it, what are you going to do about it, what are you going to do about it, repeatedly and very quickly, and that that led to some frustration because it's like you never want to tell somebody who is filing a complaint like to not . . . but at the same time sometimes looking in to things takes time, and Enid Cooper was not having I guess any of that.

Stevens Depo. 73:13–74:3.

Response: Uncontroverted.

73. In April 2020, a complaint was lodged against The Satanic Temple Austin Chapter. Stevens Depo. Ex. 3.

Response: Controverted. The complaint arose out of the Austin Chapter, but was made against Shelby Scates. Stevens Depo. Ex. 3;

Stevens Depo. 110:15-23.

74. The Satanic Temple admitted that:

- A [Satanic Temple] Austin member (Laura Smith) emailed NC [National Council] with the allegation that the [Satanic Temple] Austin Chapter Head (Shelby Scates) sexually assaulted another person (Kiley) whom they were friends with.
- NC's initial response was to encourage Kiley to file a police response, due to the seriousness of the allegations. She refused.
- (May) Laura Smith and other friends of Kiley reached out to individual [Satanic Temple] members to "warn" them with these claims. This escalated to them threatening to "go public" with the claim that TST was "covering up" the accusations.
- (June) Shelby emailed NC stating that he was stepping down

from leadership and away from [The Satanic Temple].

Stevens Depo. Ex. 3.

Response: Controverted in part. The truth of the matters asserted by the complaint is denied. Scates Aff. The timeline is uncontroverted. The fact that National Council encouraged Kiley (the complainant) to file a police report is uncontroverted. Stevens Depo. 111:8-9. The fact that a third party made the complaint is uncontroverted. Stevens Depo. 111:9-16.

75. Regarding the Austin Chapter Complaint, The Satanic Temple's 30(b)(6) witness testified:

[T]he allegation was that Shelby sexually assaulted Kiley. The report was e-mailed in by a person who was a friend of Kiley's and an ex of Shelby's . . . Over the course of the following month or so . . . it seemed like there was a lot of effort to reach out to people, [The Satanic Temple] members individually, to, you know, warn people, sway people . . . and it got to a point where someone, it was Laura, threatened to go public quote unquote, whatever that means, with the claim that [The Satanic

Temple] was covering up these accusations, and it was at that point that Shelby stepped down.

Stevens Depo. 111:5–25.

Response: Uncontroverted.

76. Regarding the Austin Chapter's response to the Austin Chapter Complaint, The Satanic Temple's 30(b)(6) witness testified that after the alleged perpetrator "stepped down" from his leadership position, the alleged victim and people who continued to raise the issue at Chapter meeting were removed from the Austin Chapter. Stevens Depo. 114:10–23, 115:5–12, 117:4–6, 117:12–20.

Response: Uncontroverted.

77. In addition to the above testimony, there are numerous social media posts in which individuals purporting to be former members of The Satanic Temple have publicly recounted experiences of sexual abuse within The Satanic Temple and expressed their frustration with how such complaints were handled, including:

- A Facebook post and a X (formerly Twitter) post by a former Satanic Temple member, Rumor Solanine, dated May 2, 2021, in which the author shared screenshots of a chat with Southern California Satanic Temple leaders, and stated, “It’s such a shame @satanic_temple_ turned out to be so hypocritical[.] We all had such high hopes for them[.] Covering up abusive behavior from your leaders to maintain an external image is gross[.] Punishing the victims and rewarding the abusers is gross[.] TST should be ashamed.” Declaration of Cameron Stracher (“Stracher Decl.”) Ex. A.
- A series of Facebook posts by a former Satanic Temple Member, “D.E.M.,” dated March 8, 2020, in which the author described their experience of sexual harassment within the then-Seattle chapter of The Satanic Temple, stating, “First, the same [Satanic Temple] member was allowed to sexually harass me, over 5 reported counts, and even going as far as looking up my dress during The Womxns March

movement while licking his lips, with the chapter doing nothing to prevent it from happening.” The same person also stated on May 8, 2020, “I left The Satanic Temple then Seattle Chapter, now known as the WA State Chapter, in 2017 following the lack of action and care when I stepped forward, multiple times with proof, that I was being sexually harassed and groomed by an older member of the chapter since 2015. That member wasn’t removed until 7 months later, when other femme presenting members said they were now being harassed, as well. [The Satanic Temple] WA then sent out an e-mail stating a member had been removed for inappropriate behaviors towards other members. In that e-mail, they anonymously mentioned my reports, by stating ‘There were reports made by previous members, but those could of been handled between each other, and we didn’t feel it necessary to intervene.’” Stracher Decl. Ex. B.

- A Reddit thread, from a former Satanic Temple member in

the Philadelphia area dated February 15, 2020, in which the former member claims they were attending a Satanic Temple event at which there was “lots of unwanted touching, kissing, [and] a lot of pressure to be sexual and very ‘out.’” Stracher Decl. Ex. C.

- A series of Facebook posts by a former member of The Satanic Temple Austin Chapter, who stated on September 11, 2020, “Guess who just got banned from TST for filing a report about a member of leadership in TST raping them along with everyone that has been talking to the police. This gal!” Stevens Depo. Ex. 19 (TST000430).

Response: Controverted. None of these internet hearsay declarants are identified as witnesses in Newsweek’s discovery disclosures. Defendant’s Revised Initial Disclosures, at 2. As to each:

- Stracher Ex. A was printed three months after the close of discovery. No part of “Rumor Solanine’s” gibberish includes an allegation of sexual abuse or cover up. Quite the opposite,

she is complaining that someone told her to “shut up” and others didn’t want her to attend meetings. Id., at 1. Further, the document appearing as Ex. A was not produced in discovery. Kezhaya Aff.

- Stracher Ex. B acknowledges that the offending member was removed.
- Stracher Ex. C is outright fabrication. Axis Aff.
- Stevens Depo. Ex. 19 is a self-serving narrative. This unidentified hearsay declarant was removed, not because of a complaint, but because she was “just constantly turning attention to themselves and ... made it impossible for them [the Austin Congregation] to have meetings.” Stevens Depo. 114:6-23.

78. Within The Satanic Temple, member complaints about violations of The Satanic Temple code of conduct, including allegations of sexual assault, are handled by the Suryan Council.

Greaves Depo. 19:16–19; Stevens Depo. 12:20–25, 25:24–26:15.

Response: Uncontroverted.

79. The Suryan Council was not formed until 2020. Stevens Depo. 13:11–16.

Response: Uncontroverted.

80. Prior to the formation of the Suryan Council, The Satanic Temple lacked a formal process for handling complaints, including complaints involving sexual abuse. Stevens Depo. 82:19–82:4.

Response: Uncontroverted; but this does not negate the fact of an informal process.

81. Prior to 2020 there were complaints that were not formally addressed. Stevens Depo. 83:9–12.

Response: Uncontroverted; but this does not negate the fact of informal resolutions.

82. The Satanic Temple did not conduct any investigation into

Blackmore's claims from the Medium article. Greaves Depo. 74:4–8.

Response: Uncontroverted.

PLAINTIFF'S PUBLIC FIGURE STATUS

83. The Satanic Temple publishes press releases to promote Satanic Temple activities, campaigns, and issues. Greaves Depo. 26:14–20.

Response: Uncontroverted.

84. The press releases are sent to numerous media outlets. Greaves Depo. 30:2–7.

Response: Uncontroverted.

85. The Satanic Temple publishes press releases so that people are aware of the group and what it is doing. Stevens Depo. 141:23–142:4.

Response: Uncontroverted.

86. Through the press releases, The Satanic Temple sometimes has “specific political or specific initiatives which we promote.” Stevens Depo. 143:2–4.

Response: Uncontroverted.

87. The Satanic Temple relies on the public knowing about The Satanic Temple’s activities, including lawsuits it files, in order to generate donations. Greaves Depo. 38:5–15.

Response: Uncontroverted.

88. The Satanic Temple also uses billboards to advertise its initiatives. Stevens Depo. 146:12–147:11.

Response: Uncontroverted.

89. Greaves has done multiple interviews with Tucker Carlson, on Fox news radio, and with Chris Hayes on MSNBC, to discuss The Satanic Temple. Greaves Depo. 40:8–41:3.

Response: Uncontroverted.

ADDITIONAL FACTS

Pursuant to LR 56.1(b), Plaintiff submits additional material facts:

90. Through her role in editing this article for Newsweek, Nancy Cooper accused The Satanic Temple of falsely presenting its religious practices to the public despite having no understanding of the Temple. Cooper Depo at 157:6-13, Cooper19, Cooper37, Cooper48.

91. A third party made a police report about the Kiley / Scates accusation. Stevens Depo. 112:19-113:17.

92. The police report was made about the Kiley / Scates accusation one month after National Council encouraged Kiley to file a police report. Stevens Depo. 112:12-14.

93. After the police report, Scates voluntarily stepped down from his role in TST Austin leadership. Stevens Depo. 112:23-24.

94. Scates cooperated with the police. Stevens Depo. 113:9.

95. National Council did not further investigate the Scates matter after police involvement because it is counterproductive to have two investigations going on at the same time. Stevens Depo. 113:6-14.

96. Everyone involved in the police investigation were removed because they were disrupting Chapter meetings. Stevens Depo. 114:16-19; 115:5-12.

97. The police did not pursue a case against Scates. Stevens Depo. 115:15-18.

98. Enid Cooper's complaint was an interpersonal dispute which complaint accused various members of "being part of ANTIFA, sharing offensive memes, guilting people into giving money, and farting in someone's face." Stevens Depo. Ex. 3., at 3.

99. Enid Cooper's complaint exonerates The Satanic Temple from any wrongdoing. Depo. Stevens. Ex. 9 ("The Temple itself is not the problem.")

100. Jex Blackmore was removed from The Satanic Temple because she “made a statement about encouraging people to the kill the president” in one of her rituals. Stevens Depo. 134:19-24; see also Greaves Depo. 66:8-17 (her threat to kill the President appears in *Hail Satan?*) and 66:22-67:6 (Greaves personally informed her that she had been removed).

101. Jex Blackmore lied about the circumstances of her removal. Stevens Depo. 135:8-12; see also Greaves Depo. 49:21-25; and Greaves Ex. 2 (“This past March, I left my position as spokesperson and member of The Satanic Temple”).

102. Jinx Strange’s email to Julia Duin do not include the words “leaked” or “materials.” NEWSWEEK 016.

Respectfully submitted on April 15, 2024,

By: /s/ Matt Kezhaya

Matt Kezhaya (# 0402193)



KEZHAYA LAW PLC

150 S. Fifth St., Suite 1850

Minneapolis, MN 55402

phone: (479) 431-6112

email: matt@kezhaya.law

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

NOTICE IS GIVEN that I, Matt Kezhaya, efiled the foregoing document by uploading it to the Court's CM/ECF system on April 15, 2024, which sends service to registered users, including all other counsel of record in this cause. *s/ Matt Kezhaya*